ITEM NO.2

COURT NO.10

SECTION PIL

SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Writ Petition (Civil) No.75/2012

BACHPAN BACHAO ANDOLAN

Petitioner(s)

Respondent(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

(With appln. for exemption from filing O.T. and exemption from personal appearance and permission to file additional documents and office report)

WITH W.P.(C) No.906/2014 (With Office Report)

Date : 13/01/2015 This petition was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MADAN B. LOKUR HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UDAY UMESH LALIT

For Petitioner(s) Mr. H.S. Phoolka, Sr. Adv. Mr. Jagjit Singh Chhabra, Adv. Mr. Anand Kumar, Adv. Mr. Virender Verma, Adv. Ms. Shilpa Dewan, Adv. Mr. Amarjit Singh Bedi, Adv. For Respondent(s) Mr. Maninder Singh, ASG Ms. Rekha Pandey, Adv. Ms. Gunwant Dara, Adv. Mr. Ritesh Kumar, Adv. Ms. Sunita Sharma, Adv. Mr. S.S. Rawat, Adv. Ms. Sushma Suri, Adv. Mr. Anil K. Jha, Adv. Mr. R.K. Ojha, Adv. Ms. Priyanka Tyagi, Adv. Mr. Anil Shrivastav, Adv. Mr. Rituraj Biswas, Adv.

Mr. Anip Sachthey, Adv. Mr. Mohit Paul, Adv. Mr. Sapam Biswajit Meitei, Adv. Mr. Ashok Kumar Singh, Adv. Mr. Avijit Bhattacharjee, Adv. Mrs. K. Enatoli Sema, Adv. Mr. Amit Kumar Singh, Adv. Mr. Balaji Srinivasan, Adv. Mr. B. Balaji, Adv. Mr. R. Rakesh Sharma, Adv. Ms. R. Shase, Adv. Mr. V. Paramveer, Adv. Mr. Ravindra Shrivastava, Sr. Adv. Mr. C.D. Singh, Adv. (For Madhya Pradesh) Ms. Damini Hajela, Adv. Ms. Harshita Kumar, Adv. Mr. Anshuman Shrivastava, Adv. Mr. B. Krishna Prasad, Adv. Ms. Udita Singh, Adv. For Mr. Chandra Prakash, Adv. Mr. Dharmendra Kumar Sinha, Adv. Mr. D. Mahesh Babu, Adv. Mr. G.M. Kawoosa, Adv. Mr. S. Wasim A. Qadri, Adv. Mr. Zaid Ali, Adv. For Mr. D. S. Mahra, Adv. Mr. P.K. Dey, Adv. Mr. T.A. Khan, Adv. Mr. M. Khairati, Adv. Mr. B.V. Balaram Das, Adv. Mr. Manjit Singh, AAG Mr. Tarjit Singh, Adv. Mrs. Vivekta Singh, Adv. Mr. Arun Bharadwaj, Adv. For Vishwa Pal Singh, Adv. Mr. G. N. Reddy, Adv. Mr. P.S. Patwalia, ASG

Mr. Gopal Singh, Adv. Mr. Rituraj Biswas, Adv. Ms. Rashmi Shrivastava, Adv. Mr. Manish Kumar, Adv. Mr. Gopal Singh, Adv. Mr. Arun Bhardwaj, Adv. Mr. Bhakti Vardhan Singh, Adv. Dr. Monika Gusain, Adv. Mr. Gaurav Bhatia, AAG Mr. Utkarsh Sharma, Adv. Ms. Pragati Neekhra, Adv. Ms. A. Subhashini, Adv. Mr. Irshad Ahmad, Adv. Mr. Kamal Mohan Gupta, Adv. Mr. Krishnanand Pandeya, Adv. Mr. Ajay Bansal, AAG Mr. Kuldip Singh, Adv. Mr. Gaurav Yadav, Adv. Mr. Ramesh Babu M. R., Adv. Mr. Ranjan Mukherjee, Adv. Mr. S.C. Ghosh, Adv. Mr. S. Bhowmick, Adv. Mr. S.S. Shamshery, Adv. Mr. Amit Sharma, Adv. Mr. Sandeep Singh, Adv. Mr. Shibashish Misra, Adv. Mr. Sibo Sankar Mishra, Adv. Mr. Sunil Fernandes, Adv. Mr. K.N. Madhusoodhanan, Adv. Mr. T. G. Narayanan Nair, Adv. Mr. V. G. Pragasam, Adv. Mr. S.J. Aristotle, Adv. Mr. Prabu Ramasubramanian, Adv. Mr. Basava Prabhu S. Patil, Sr. Adv. Mr. V. N. Raghupathy, Adv.

Mr. Parikshit P. Angadi, Adv. Ms. Anitha Shenoy, Adv. Mr. A. Mariarputham, AG Ms. Aruna Mathur, Adv. Mr. Yusuf Khan, Adv. Mr. K. Vjay Kumar, Adv. For M/s Arputham Aruna & Co. Ms. Asha Gopalan Nair, Adv. Mr. A.P. Mayee, Adv. Mr. Jaideep Gupta, Sr. Adv. Mr. Riku Sharma, Adv. Mr. Navnit Kumar, Adv. For M/s Corporate Law Group Mr. K.V. Jagdishvaran, Adv. Ms. G. Indira, Adv. Ms. Hemantika Wahi, Adv. Ms. Jesal, Adv. Ms. Swati Vaibhav, Adv. Mr. Pratap Venugopal, Adv. Ms. Supriya Jain, Adv. Ms. Niharika, Adv. For M/s. K. J. John & Co. Mr. Suryanarayana Singh, AAG Ms. Pragati Neekhra, Adv. Mr. Ravindra Shrivastava, Sr. Adv. Mr. C.D. Singh, Adv. (For Chhattisgarh) Ms. Damini Hajela, Adv. Ms. Harshita Kumar, Adv. Mr. Anshuman Shrivastava, Adv. Ms. Rachana Srivastava, Adv. Ms. Shalini Chandra, Adv. Ms. Shobha, Adv. M/s. Venkat Palwai Law Associates

## UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following O R D E R $\,$

Having heard learned counsel appearing for the parties and taking into consideration the seriousness of the issue involved in this matter, we deem it appropriate to pass the following directions :

1. The Secretary, Ministry of Women and Child Development, Government of India, will be the nodal officer to co-ordinate the efforts for preventing children going missing, tracing out the missing children, and for the resettlement and rehabilitation of children in child care institutions.

2. The Secretary, Ministry of Women and Child Development, Government of India, will co-ordinate with his/her counterparts in all the States and the Union Territories in respect of directions issued by this Court and obtain necessary information from them as required by this Court from time to time instead of having separate affidavits filed by the States and the Union Territories in this Court thereby burdening the record of this Court.

3. Insofar as the website viz., www.trackthemissingchild.gov.in is concerned, it is stated that website is in operation since the year 2012. We request the Director, Faculty of Management Studies, University of Delhi, Delhi, to appoint some competent members of the said Faculty and if necessary to take outside assistance to study the aforesaid website and to suggest improvements, if any, at

the earliest.

4. It appears that many States have prepared their own Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) to trace out missing children. Some of these SOPs are in line with the SOP framed by the NALSA. The Secretary, Ministry of Women and Child Development, Government of India, will make a compilation of all these SOPs and hand them over within a week from today to the Director, Tata Institute of Social Sciences (TISS), Mumbai. We request the Director of TISS to set up a group to study all these SOPs and to come out with a model SOP which would then be distributed to all the States and the Union Territories for implementation.

5. The Secretary, Ministry of Women and Child Development, Government of India, will inform this Court on the next date of hearing whether the Advisory Committee mandated under the Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act 2000, has been set up in all the States and the Union Territories and if so, the details of meetings held by them.

6. He will also take urgent steps to fill up all the vacancies in the National Commission for Protection of Child Rigths (NCPCR) including the vacancies of the Chairperson and the members of the Commission.

7. The Secretary, Ministry of Women and Child Development, Government of India, will also issue directions immediately to his/her counterparts in all the State Governments and the Union Territories to vigorously renew efforts to trace out the missing children.

The affidavits filed by some of the State Governments/Union Territories indicate that the efforts of the State Governments/Union Territories have been quite fruitful over the last couple of months but that should not result in the State Governments/Union Territories becoming slack in this regard. The renewed efforts and implementation of Operation Smile should be made for a full one month at least so that maximum number of missing children are traced out.

The Secretary, Ministry of Women and Child Development, Government of India, will file an affidavit indicating the result of the efforts made by the State Governments/Union Territories in this regard on or before 13.02.2015. The said affidavit will also indicate whether the Advisory Committees have been set up by all the State Governments and the Union Territories as well as the efforts made by Union of India to fill up the vacancies in the NCPCR. The time for filing the affidavit will not be extended.

We request the Director, Faculty of Management Studies, University of Delhi, Delhi, to try and complete the study of the website viz., www.trackthemissingchild.gov.in before the next date of hearing and submit a report to this Court on or before 13.02.2015. Similarly, we request the Director of TISS to inform us about the progress made in formulation of a Standard Operating Procedure to trace out and handle the cases of missing children on or before 13.02.2015.

We also find from the affidavits filed by the various States/Union Territories that a large number of police officers are involved in the process of tracing out missing children and

many of those police officers have been appointed as Child Welfare Officers/Juvenile Welfare Officers. We find that there is nothing to indicate whether these police officers have been given training of any kind either in terms of awareness, sensitization of child rights or for tracing out the missing children.

Under the circumstances, we request the Director, National Police Academy, Hyderabad to consult with the police authorities across the country and to come out with a standard curriculum and a training module(s) for imparting training to the police officers and sensitizing them about the issue and to trace out the missing children as well as to prevent human trafficking, child labour and other vices that go along with the exploitation of missing children. We request the Director to try and complete the exercise on these issues and send a report to this Court on or before 13.02.2015.

The Director of the Faculty of Management Studies, the Director of the Tata Institute of Social Sciences and the Director of the National Police Academy will, no doubt, appreciate that the request is being made on behalf of a large number of voiceless missing children.

The Secretary, Ministry of Women and Child Development, Government of India, will communicate this order immediately to (1) the Director, Faculty of Management Studies, University of Delhi, Delhi, (2) the Director, Tata Institute of Social Sciences (TISS), Mumbai, (3) the Director, National Police Academy, Hyderabad, and (4) his/her counterparts in all the State Governments and the Union Territories, for necessary compliance.

In compliance of this Court's order, the Chief Secretary and the Director General of Police for the State of Orissa are present in court in person. Until further orders, their personal presence is dispensed with.

Liberty to the States of Tripura and Chhattisgarh to file the additional affidavits.

List the matter on 20.02.2015.

(SANJAY KUMAR-II) COURT MASTER (JASWINDER KAUR) COURT MASTER